IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re Application

Inventor:

Richard M. Ehrlich

Appl. No.:

10/620,818

Confirm. No.: 1232

Filed:

July 16, 2003

Title: SERVO DEMODULATION SYSTEMS

INCLUDING MULTIPLE SERVO

DEMODULATORS

PATENT APPLICATION

Art Unit:

2651

Examiner:

Faber, Alan

Atty. Docket No. PANA-01046USG

Customer No. 23910

(PANAP-01046USG)

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.56

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

It is requested that the information identified in this statement be considered by the Examiner and made of record in the above-identified application. This statement is not intended to represent that a search has been made or that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56. If this is a continuation, divisional or continuation-in-part application, it is understood that the Examiner will consider all information which was considered by the Office in a parent application. MPEP §609. Such information therefore is not listed herein unless it is desired that the information be printed on a patent issuing from the subject application.

Enclosed with this statement are the following:

- Form PTO-1449. The Examiner is requested to initial the form and return it to the <u>X</u> undersigned in accordance with M.P.E.P. §609.
- The present application is being/was filed after June 30, 2003. In accordance with the X pre-official gazette waiver of 37 CFR 1.98 (a)(2)(i) posted at: pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/idswouscopies.htm, copies of cited U.S. patents and publications are not enclosed. However, copies of cited foreign patent documents and non-patent literature are enclosed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), as still required, except for those items designated by an asterisk (*), which were previously submitted by the applicant in a parent application, from which benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 is claimed, with an *Information Disclosure Statement* submitted in the parent application which complies with the September 8, 2000 or subsequent revision of 37 C.F.R. §1.98(ac), as allowed under 37 C.F.R. §1.98(d)(1).

This statement should be considered because:

- 37 C.F.R. §1.97(c). Although it may not qualify under subsection (b), this statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (c) because:
 - It is being filed before the mailing date of a FINAL Office Action, a (1) Notice of Allowance, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the subject application, whichever occurs first.

04/25/2005 EAREGAY1 00000022 10620818

-- AND (check at least one of the following) --

- __ (1) It is accompanied by a STATEMENT as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e).
 -- OR --
- X (2) It is accompanied by the \$180 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p).
- Fee Authorization. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiencies or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325.

Respectfully submitted,

FLIESLER MEYER LLP

Date: 4/21/05

Jeffrey R. Kurin Reg. No. 41,132

FLIESLER MEYER LLP

Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4156

Telephone: (415) 362-3800 Facsimile: (415) 362-2928 Customer No. 23910

2

FORM PTO-1449

(Substitute)

US DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATION DISCLOSURE CITATION

BY APPLICANT

(Use several sheets if necessary)

Attorney Docket Number
PANA-01046USG

Serial No.

10/413,338

Applicant

Richard M. Ehrlich

Filing Date

July 16, 2003

Group Art Unit 2651

U.S. PATENTS Examiner Initial ADE Patent Number Issue Date Class Subclass Filing Date Sordello et al. 4,188,646 2/12/1980 Hall 6/2/1981 4,271,519 2. **Bliss** 5,585,975 12/17/1996 Glover 6,108,153 8/22/2000 5. 9/19/2000 6,122,131 Jeppson Sacks et al. 6,181,505 1/30/2001 6. Yeo et al. 5/21/2002 6,392,831 7. 6,487,032 Cloke et al. 11/26/2002 8. Hirano et al. 6,504,663 1/7/2003 6,525,615 2/25/2003 Masenas et al. 10. Ioannou 6,545,836 4/8/2003 11. 6,594,103 7/15/2003 Despain 12. **Ellis** 6,678,110 1/13/2004 13. She et al. 6,680,807 1/20/2004 14. Kuki et al. 6,760,173 7/6/2004 15. U.S. PATENT PUBLICATIONS Patent Application Publication Number **Publication Date** Applicant Examiner Initial 2/6/2003 Stoter et al. 2003/0026363 A1 16. 2/6/2003 Chong et al. 2003/0026017 A1 17. Ehrlich 18. 2005/0013027 A1 1/20/2005 19. 2005/0013030 A1 1/20/2005 Ehrlich 1/20/2005 **Ehrlich** 20. 2005/0013031 A1 3/24/2005 Ehrlich 21. 2005/0063087 A1

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDER	DATE CONSIDERED				
EXAMINER: Initial if references considered, whether or not citation is in conformance w this form with next communication to applicant.	ith MPEP § 609; Draw li	ine through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of				
*1 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN/	, filed	, 20, relied on under 35 USC §120.				
*2 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN/	, filed	, 20 , relied on under 35 USC §120.				

			FORE	GN PATE	ENT DO	CUMENTS			<u> </u>	rans-	
Examiner Initial		PENDING Application Number		G U.S. PATENT AP Filing Date		PPLICATIONS First Named Inventor		Petition Expung Yes N		unge?	
TRADE	287.	2005/0063088				24/2005		Ehrlid	:h		
APR 2 5 2005 (Use several sheets if necessary)					Filing Date July 16, 2003			Group Art Unit 2651			
IN	8	TION DISCLOS BY APPLICA		ITATION	١	Applicant	Richard M	1. Ehrlich			
Substituted	RM PTO-1449 US DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE				Attorney Docket Number PANA-010	Serial No.	Serial No. 10/413,338				

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Include author (if any), title, publisher and place of publication, date and pertinent pages)

Abramovitch et al., "Customizable Coherent Servo Demodulation for Disk Drives," September 1998, IEEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics, Vol. 3, No. 3, pp. 184-193.

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED				
EXAMINER: Initial if references considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with this form with next communication to applicant.	MPEP § 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include c	opy of			
*1 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN/_	, filed, 20, relied on under 35 USC §120.				
*2 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN/	, filed, 20, relied on under 35 USC §120.				